UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,617	03/15/2004 Shannon G. Parsons		1007.002	2616
36790 TILLMAN WR	7590 02/20/200 IGHT, PLLC	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 47158	31	KING, ANITA M		
CHARLOTTE,	NC 28247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/708,61	7	PARSONS, SHANNON G.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Anita M. K	ing	3632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the reply are provided by the Office later than three months after the reply are provided by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the Office later than three months are reply received by the	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on. eriod will apply and wi statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is n owance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 36,39,41-43,46 and 49-60 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 36,39,41-43,46,49,50,52 and 53 Claim(s) 51 and 54-60 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction a con Papers  The specification is objected to by the Example the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	ndrawn from conis/are allowed.  nd/or election remainer.  accepted or b)	nsideration. equirement.  objected to by the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some coll None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/26/07(2)</u> .	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3632

This is the sixth office action for application number 10/708,617, Display Adjustably Positionable about Swivel and Pivot Axes, filed on March 15, 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 51 and 54-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 51 recites the limitation "said headrest" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 54 recites the limitation "said headrest" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 54-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 3,950,086 to Schulman et al., hereinafter Schulman, and in view of U.S. Patent 6,899,442 to Howell et al., hereinafter Howell. Schulman discloses an arrangement for variably supporting a display, the arrangement comprising: an overhead track (11); a carriage (14) coupled to the overhead track such that the carriage can be variably positioned along the overhead track; a first arm (17) coupled to and suspended from the carriage such that the first arm can be variably swiveled about the coupling to the carriage; a second arm (19) coupled to the first arm; a display (20) coupled to the second arm such that a display screen (21) may be disposed in a horizontal orientation; a dental chair (13) for receiving a person (12) in a resting

position; wherein the display is adjustably suspended vertically above an headrest of the dental chair, with the display screen disposed in an orientation facing the headrest, for viewing by the person received in the dental chair; wherein the overhead track extends directly over the headrest of the dental chair; and wherein the display screen is disposed in An horizontal orientation.

Schulman discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation of the second arm being variably positioned in different inclinations to the first arm about a first swivel axis. Howell teaches an arrangement for variably supporting a display, the arrangement comprising a first arm (50) mounted to a support (11) by a coupling (12) such that the first arm can be variably swiveled about the coupling to the support; a second arm (66) coupled to the first arm such that the second arm can be variably positioned in different inclinations to the first arm and such that the second arm can be variably swiveled relative to the first arm about a first swivel axis (64); a display (42) coupled to the second arm by a subassembly (67). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the first and second arms of Schulman to have included the arm arrangement as taught by Howell for the purpose of providing more degrees of adjustability of the assembly in regards to the supporting structure and the display suspended therefrom.

In regards to the limitation of the display being suspended vertically directly above the headrest of the dental chair, the combination the Schulman and Howell references inherently teach this limitation. In Schulman, it is inherent that the display screen (21) can be positioned vertically directly above the headrest of the dental chair, since the first arm (17) is positionable along the length of the track (110) and is capable

Art Unit: 3632

of being positioned directly above the headrest of the chair. The combination of Schulman and Howell teach the arrangement with the monitor being oriented to be viewed by the person in the dental chair.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36, 39, 41-43, 46, 49, 50, 52, and 53 are allowed.

Claims 51 and 57-60 (as they depend from claim 54) would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent 5,201,896 to Kruszewski

U.S. Patent 5,772,174 to Hirsch et al.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-6817. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anita M. King/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632